The Usability of Advanced Type Systems: Rust as a Case Study

Kasra Ferdowsi

04/27/2022

Overview

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

Overview

1. History

- 1.1. Linear Types
- 1.2. Region-based Memory Management
- 1.3. Ownership Types
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

"Use *Exactly* Once" [25]:
 copy :: a → (a, a)
 copy a = (a, a)

drop :: $a \rightarrow ()$ drop a = ()

"Use *Exactly* Once" [25]:
 copy :: a → (a, a)
 copy a = (a, a)

drop :: $a \rightarrow ()$ drop a = ()

- Memory management: append :: List \rightarrow List \rightarrow List append = $\lambda xs \rightarrow ...$

"Use *Exactly* Once" [25]:
 copy :: a → (a, a)
 copy a = (a, a)

drop :: $a \rightarrow ()$ drop a = ()

- Memory management:

append :: ;List -o ;List -o ;List append = ; $\lambda xs \rightarrow ...$

"Use *Exactly* Once" [25]:
 copy :: a → (a, a)
 copy a = (a, a)

drop :: $a \rightarrow ()$ drop a = ()

Memory management:
 append :: ¡List -0 ;List -0 ;List
 append = λxs → ...
 Value w/ Linear Type

"Use *Exactly* Once" [25]:
 copy :: a → (a, a)
 copy a = (a, a)

drop :: $a \rightarrow ()$ drop a = ()

Memory management:
 append :: ¡List -0 ;List -0 ;List
 append = λxs → ...
 Function w/ Linear Param

- Tofte and Talpin 1997 [24]

- Tofte and Talpin 1997 [24]

Cyclone! [16]

- Tofte and Talpin 1997 [24]

Cyclone! [16]

"A Safe Dialect of C"



- Region Types [16]

```
region h {
    int* x = rmalloc(h, sizeof(int));
    int? y = rnew(h) { 1, 2, 3 };
    char? z = rprintf(h, "hello");
}
```

- Region Types [16]

```
region h {
    int* x = rmalloc(h, sizeof(int));
    int? y = rnew(h) { 1, 2, 3 };
    char? z = rprintf(h, "hello");
}
maps to a dynamic
growable region of
memory
```

- Region Types [16]

```
region h {
    int* x = rmalloc(h, sizeof(int));
    int? y = rnew(h) { 1, 2, 3 };
    char? z = rprintf(h, "hello");
}
```

Pointer types are annotated with the name of the region "h

- Region Types [16]

```
region h {
    int*% x = rmalloc(h, sizeof(int));
    int?% y = rnew(h) { 1, 2, 3 };
    char?% z = rprintf(h, "hello");
}
Pointer types are annotated with the name of the region 'h
```

1.3 Ownership Types [6]

- Statically enforcing Encapsulation

1.3 Ownership Types [6]

- Statically enforcing Encapsulation

• Object-Oriented Programming

1 History

Linear Types

Region Types

Ownership Types

1 History

Linear Types

Region Types

Memory management Aliasing Safety

Ownership Types)

Overview

1. History

- 1.1. Linear Types
- 1.2. Region-based Memory Management
- 1.3. Ownership Types
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

Overview

1. History

2. Rust

- 2.1. Ownership
- 2.2. Lifetimes
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

- Started in 2010 @ Mozilla Research
- Mascot is *Ferris*
- Its eultists are know as "Rustaceans" community





```
fn main() {
    let owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
}
    'owner' owns the vector
```

```
fn main() {
    let owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let reference: &Vec<i32> = &owner;
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    owner.push(4);
```

```
let reference: &Vec<i32> = &owner;
}
```

```
fn main() {
   let owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
   owner.push(4);
   ^^^^^^ cannot borrow as mutable
   let reference: &Vec<i32> = &owner;
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let mut owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    owner.push(4);
```

```
let reference: &Vec<i32> = &owner;
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let mut owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    owner.push(4);
```

```
let reference: &Vec<i32> = &owner;
}
```

}

```
fn main() {
    let mut owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    owner.push(4);
```

```
let reference: &mut Vec<i32> = &mut owner;
reference.push(5);
```

```
fn main() {
    let mut owner: Vec<i32> = vec![1, 2, 3];
    owner.push(4);
```

```
let reference: &mut Vec<i32> = &mut owner;
reference.push(5);
}
```

- The three rules of Ownership [9]

- The three rules of Ownership [9]
- Checked by the Borrow-Checker



1. All values have exactly one owner.

1. All values have exactly one owner.

```
fn main() {
    let v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let v2 = v;
    print(v);
}
```
1. All values have exactly one owner.

```
fn main() {
    let v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let v2 = v;
    print(v);
}
```

value moved here
value used here after move

```
fn main() {
    let v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    let v2 = v;
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    let v2 = v;
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

2. A *reference* to a value cannot *outlive* the owner.

```
fn main() {
    let v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    let v2 = v;
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

borrow out of `v` occurs here move out of `v` occurs here borrow later used here

```
fn main() {
   let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
   let x = &v[0];
   v.push(4);
   let y = x + 1;
}
```

```
fn main() {
    let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    v.push(4);
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

```
fn push(&mut self, value: i32) { /* ... */ }
```

3. A value can have one *mutable* ref, or many *immutable* refs.

```
fn main() {
    let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    v.push(4);
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

fn push(&mut self, value: i32) { /* ... */ }

3. A value can have one *mutable* ref, or many *immutable* refs.

```
fn main() {
    let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    v.push(4);
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

fn push(&mut self, value: i32) { /* ... */ }

- 1. All values have exactly one owner.
- 2. A reference to a value cannot outlive the owner.
- 3. A value can have:
 - one mutable reference, or
 - many immutable references.

Affine Types!

- 1. All values have exactly one owner.
- 2. A reference to a value cannot outlive the owner.
- 3. A value can have:
 - one mutable reference, or
 - many immutable references.

- 1. All values have exactly one owner.
- 2. A reference to a value cannot outlive the owner.
- 3. A value can have:
 - one mutable reference, or
 - many immutable references.

- 1. All values have exactly one owner.
- 2. A reference to a value cannot outlive the owner.

???

- 3. A value can have:
 - one mutable reference, or
 - many immutable references.

- 1. All values have exactly one owner.
- 2. A reference to a value cannot outlive the owner.
- 3. A value can have:
 - one mutable reference of fetimes
 - many immutable references.

```
fn main() {
    let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    v.push(4);
    let y = x + 1;
}
```

```
fn_main() {
    let mut v = vec![1, 2, 3];
    let x = &v[0];
    v.push(4);
    let y = x + 1;
    'v
```







2 Rust

Quick break!

Any questions so far?

1. History

2. Rust

- a. Ownership
- b. Lifetimes
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

"Learning Rust Ownership is like navigating a maze where the walls are made of asbestos and frustration, and the maze has no exits, and every time you hit a dead end you get an aneurysm and die." [8]

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
 - 3.1. Is Rust's Ownership difficult to learn & use?
- 4. Future Work

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
 - 3.1. Is Rust's Ownership difficult to learn & use?
 - 3.2. *Why* is Rust's Ownership difficult?
- 4. Future Work

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
 - 3.1. Is Rust's Ownership difficult to learn & use?
 - 3.2. *Why* is Rust's Ownership difficult?
 - 3.3. Why do people use Rust anyway?
- 4. Future Work

- Zeng and Crichton 2019 [26]

"The complexity of the borrow checker was the second most frequently mentioned complaint"





- Fulton and friends 2021 [12]

"A near-vertical learning curve"





- Fulton and friends 2021 [12]

"A near-vertical learning curve"



Languages Used in the Past

- The Bronze Garbage Collector [8]

- The Bronze Garbage Collector [8]



- The Bronze Garbage Collector [8]


- The Bronze Garbage Collector [8]



- The Bronze Garbage Collector [8]



- What about experienced Rust developers?

- What about experienced Rust developers?
 - Qin & friends [19]: "Lacking good understanding of Rust's **lifetime** rules"

- What about experienced Rust developers?
 - Qin & friends [19]: "Lacking good understanding of Rust's **lifetime** rules"
 - The Rust Survey 2020 [20]: "lifetimes"

- What about experienced Rust developers?
 - Qin & friends [19]: "Lacking good understanding of Rust's **lifetime** rules"
 - The Rust Survey 2020 [20]: "lifetimes"
 - Zhu & friends [27]: "complex **lifetime** computations"

Yes!

Lifetimes are difficult even for experienced Rustaceans.

Walls of text coming...

Sorry!

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]
 - Common memory access patterns are unsafe [26]

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]
 - Common memory access patterns are unsafe [26]
 - "having to redesign code that you know is safe, but the compiler doesn't" [12]

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]
 - Common memory access patterns are unsafe [26]
 - "having to redesign code that you know is safe, but the compiler doesn't" [12]
 - "a significant part of the benefit of GC in Rust programs is the architectural simplification", and
 - "design was a significant contributor to the difference in performance between non-Bronze and Bronze participants." [8]

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]
 - Common memory access patterns are unsafe [26]
 - "having to redesign code that you know is safe, but the compiler doesn't" [12]
 - "a significant part of the benefit of GC in Rust programs is the architectural simplification", and
 - "design was a significant contributor to the difference in performance between non-Bronze and Bronze participants." [8]
 - Rust's borrow-checker "forces a programmer to think differently" [21]

- Change of Paradigm
 - "interference" & "mindshift" [21]
 - Common memory access patterns are unsafe [26]
 - "having to redesign code that you know is safe, but the compiler doesn't" [12]
 - "a significant part of the benefit of GC in Rust programs is the architectural simplification", and
 - "design was a significant contributor to the difference in performance between non-Bronze and Bronze participants." [8]
 - Rust's borrow-checker "forces a programmer to think differently" [21]
 - Not just because it's a low-level language!

- Error Messages

- Error Messages

error: aborting due to previous error

For more information about this error, try `rustc --explain E0384`.

- Error Messages & Design Feedback [8]

- Error Messages & Design Feedback [8]



- Error Messages & Design Feedback [8]
 - "Getting weird errors I still don't understand but just fixed by listening to the compiler"



- Error Messages & Design Feedback [8]
 - "Getting weird errors I still don't understand but just fixed by listening to the compiler"
 - "Error messages were cyclical with things like remove & then after removing try adding &"



- Error Messages & Context [27]

- Error Messages & Context [27]
 - Most (59 / 110) contained all necessary information,
 - 9 failed to explain how a safety rule works on a particular code construct,
 - 32 were missing the key steps in computing a lifetime or a borrow relationship,
 - 10 failed to explain the relationship between two lifetime annotations.

- The Curse of Incompleteness [9]

"I can teach the three rules [of Ownership] in a single lecture to a room of undergrads. But the vagaries of the borrow checker still trip me up every time I use Rust!"

```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
let x = &mut v[0];
let y = &mut v[1];
*y += *x;
```

```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
let mut iter = v.iter_mut();
let x = iter.next().unwrap();
let y = iter.next().unwrap();
*y += *x;
```

```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
let x = &mut v[0];
let y = &mut v[1];
*y += *x;
```

```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
let mut iter = v.iter_mut();
let x = iter.next().unwrap();
let y = iter.next().unwrap();
*y += *x;
```

```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
v.insert(0, v[0]);
v.get_mut(v[0]);
```



```
let mut v = vec![1, 2];
v.insert(0, v[0]);  
v.get_mut(v[0]);  x
```

- 1. Needs a change of paradigm
- 2. Error messages are missing design feedback and necessary context
- 3. Incompleteness makes building an accurate mental model difficult

3.3 Why do people use Rust anyway?

3.3 Why do people use Rust anyway?

Read the report!

(Sorry! 🙁)
3.3 Why do people use Rust anyway?

1. They don't

- 2. Safety is the most important
- 3. Tooling is great
- 4. unsafe code is used a lot, and for good reason

Overview

- 1. History
- 2. Rust
- 3. Usability
- 4. Future Work

Better Error Messages [2]

Better Error Messages [2]

- Programmers *do* read error messages

Better Error Messages [2]

- Programmers *do* read error messages
- Rust follows many of the guidelines, but can do better

Better Error Messages [2]

- Programmers *do* read error messages
- Rust follows many of the guidelines, but can do better

Provide Context [4,27]

Better Error Messages [2]

- Programmers do read error messages
- Rust follows many of the guidelines, but can do better

Provide Context [4,27]

Reduce Cognitive Load

Better Error Messages [2]

- Programmers do read error messages
- Rust follows many of the guidelines, but can do better

Provide Context [4,27]

Reduce Cognitive Load —

Allow Dynamic Interaction

How do Rust programmers write code?

How do Rust programmers write code?

- Grounded Theory [5]

How do Rust programmers write code?

- Grounded Theory [5]
- Used in Software Engineering Research [23]

How do Rust programmers write code?

- Grounded Theory [5]

Mis Used in Software Engineering Research [23]

How do Rust programmers write code?

- Grounded Theory [5]

Mis Used in Software Engineering Research [23]

- How Statically-Typed Functional Programmers Write Code [18]

Program Visualization Tools

Program Visualization Tools

- RustViz [13]

```
fn main(){
                                                          r1|*r1
                                                                      r2 | *r2
                                                                                   r3|*r3
1
        let mut s = String::from("hello");
                                                 ★-j<sup>*</sup>
2
3
        let r1 = \&s;
4
5
        let r2 = \&s;
6
        assert!(compare_strings(r1, r2));
7
8
        let r3 = &mut s;
        clear_string(r3);
9
10 }
```

Program Visualization Tools

- Rust Life Assistant [4]

```
fn main() {
    let mut x = 4;
    let y = foo(&x);
    let z = bar(&y);
    let w = foobar(&z);
    // ...
    x = 5;
    take(w);
}
```

```
fn foo<T>(p: T) \rightarrow T { p }
fn bar<T>(p: T) \rightarrow T { p }
fn foobar<T>(p: T) \rightarrow T { p }
fn take<T>(p: T) \rightarrow T { unimplemented!() }
```



Program Visualization Tools

- Existing tools focus on *runtime* values [22]
- Rust needs a tool for *static* type constraints

Overview

- 1. History
 - a. Linear Types
 - b. Region-based Memory Management
 - c. Ownership Types
- 2. Rust
 - a. Ownership
 - b. Lifetimes

3. Usability

- a. Is Rust's Ownership difficult?
- b. *Why* is Rust's Ownership difficult?
- c. Why do people use Rust anyway?
- 4. Future Work
 - a. Better Error Messages
 - b. How Do Rust programmers Write Code?
 - c. Program Visualization Tools

Bibliography

- [1] Aldrich, J. et al. 2002. Alias Annotations for Program Understanding. *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications* (New York, NY, USA, 2002), 311–330.
- [2] Becker, B.A. et al. 2019. Compiler Error Messages Considered Unhelpful: The Landscape of Text-Based Programming Error Message Research. *Proceedings of the Working Group Reports on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (New York, NY, USA, Dec. 2019), 177–210.
- [3] Bernardy, J.-P. et al. 2017. Linear Haskell: practical linearity in a higher-order polymorphic language. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*. 2, POPL (Dec. 2017), 5:1-5:29. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3158093.
- [4] Blaser, D. 2019. *Simple Explanation of Complex Lifetime Errors in Rust*. ETH Z⁻urich.
- [5] Charmaz, K. 2006. Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide Through Qualitative Analysis. SAGE Publishing Inc.
- [6] Clarke, D. et al. 2013. Ownership Types: A Survey. *Aliasing in Object-Oriented Programming. Types, Analysis and Verification*. D. Clarke et al., eds. Springer. 15–58.
- [7] Clarke, D.G. et al. 1998. Ownership Types for Flexible Alias Protection. *Proceedings of the 13th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications* (New York, NY, USA, 1998), 48–64.
- [8] Coblenz, M. et al. 2021. Does the Bronze Garbage Collector Make Rust Easier to Use? A Controlled Experiment. *arXiv:2110.01098 [cs]*. (Oct. 2021).
- [9] Crichton, W. 2021. The Usability of Ownership. arXiv:2011.06171 [cs]. (Sep. 2021).
- [10] Dominik, D. 2018. Visualization of Lifetime Constraints in Rust. ETH Z urich.

Bibliography (cont.)

- [11] Fahndrich, M. and DeLine, R. 2002. Adoption and Focus: Practical Linear Types for Imperative Programming. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2002 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation* (New York, NY, USA, 2002), 13–24.
- [12] Fulton, K.R. et al. 2021. Benefits and drawbacks of adopting a secure programming language: rust as a case study. *Seventeenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2021)* (2021), 597–616.
- [13] Gongming et al. 2020. RustViz: Interactively Visualizing Ownership and Borrowing. arXiv:2011.09012 [cs]. (Nov. 2020).
- [14] Grossman, D. et al. 2005. Cyclone: A type-safe dialect of C. *C/C++ Users Journal*. 23, 1 (2005), 112–139.
- [15] Grossman, D. et al. 2002. Region-based memory management in cyclone. ACM SIGPLAN Notices. 37, 5 (May 2002), 282–293. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/543552.512563.
- [16] Jim, T. et al. 2002. Cyclone: A safe dialect of C. Proc. of the 2002 USENIX Annual Technical Conference (Jan. 2002), 275–288.
- [17] Jung, R. et al. 2019. Stacked borrows: an aliasing model for Rust. Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages. 4, POPL (Dec. 2019), 41:1-41:32. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3371109.
- [18] Lubin, J. and Chasins, S.E. 2021. How statically-typed functional programmers write code. Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages. 5, OOPSLA (Oct. 2021), 155:1-155:30. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/3485532.
- [19] Qin, B. et al. 2020. Understanding memory and thread safety practices and issues in real-world Rust programs. Proceedings of the 41st ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (New York, NY, USA, Jun. 2020), 763–779.
- [20] Rust Survey 2020 Results: 2020. https://blog.rust-lang.org/2020/12/16/rust-survey-2020.html. Accessed: 2022-01-31.

Bibliography (cont.)

- [21] Shrestha, N. et al. 2020. Here We Go Again: Why is It Difficult for Developers to Learn Another Programming Language? *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE 42nd International Conference on Software Engineering* (New York, NY, USA, 2020), 691–701.
- [22] Sorva, J. et al. 2013. A Review of Generic Program Visualization Systems for Introductory Programming Education. ACM Transactions on Computing Education. 13, 4 (Nov. 2013), 1–64. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/2490822.
- [23] Stol, K.-J. et al. 2016. Grounded theory in software engineering research: a critical review and guidelines. *Proceedings of the* 38th International Conference on Software Engineering (New York, NY, USA, May 2016), 120–131.
- [24] Tofte, M. and Talpin, J.-P. 1997. Region-Based Memory Management. Information and Computation. 132, 2 (Feb. 1997), 109–176. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1006/inco.1996.2613.
- [25] Wadler, P. 1990. Linear Types can Change the World! *Programming Concepts and Methods* (1990).
- [26] Zeng, A. and Crichton, W. 2019. Identifying Barriers to Adoption for Rust through Online Discourse. *arXiv:1901.01001 [cs]*. (Jan. 2019).
- [27] Zhu, S. et al. 2022. Learning and Programming Challenges of Rust: A Mixed-Methods Study. (2022), 13.

1.1 Linear Types

- Elsewhere in Linear Types:
 - a. Vault [11] to Linear Haskell [3]
 - b. Region [23] and Ownership types [7]

1.2 Region-based Memory Management

- Tofte and Talpin 1997

Cyclone! [15]

Tagged Unions NULL checks

"A Safe Dialect of C"

Existential Types

RBMM



1.2 Region-based Memory Management

- Region Types [15]

```
region h {
    int* x = rmalloc(h, sizeof(int));
    int? y = rnew(h) { 1, 2, 3 };
    char? z = rprintf(h, "hello");
}
```

1.3 Ownership Types [6]

- Statically enforcing Encapsulation

```
class Rectangle {
  private Point upperLeft;
  private Point lowerRight;
  public Rectangle(Point ul, Point lr) {
    upperLeft = ul;
    lowerRight = lr;
  }
  public Point getUpperLeft() {
    return new Point(upperLeft.x, upperLeft.y);
```

1.3 Ownership Types [6]

```
- AliasJava [1]
```

```
class Rectangle {
   private owned Point upperLeft;
   private owned Point lowerRight;
```

```
public Rectangle(unique Point ul, unique Point lr) {
    upperLeft = ul;
    lowerRight = lr;
}
```

```
public unique Point getUpperLeft() {
    return new Point(upperLeft.x, upperLeft.y);
}
```

1.4 Shared Ideas

- Benefits
 - a. Memory management
 - b. Safety
 - c. Comprehension
- Expressiveness
 - a. Linear Types: non-linear types + read-only references
 - b. RBMM: garbage-collected heap region
 - c. Ownership types: external uniqueness

$2.3 \ {\rm unsafe}$

- "Escape Hatch", but not really:
 - a. Dereference a raw pointer
 - b. Call an unsafe function or method
 - c. Access or modify a mutable static variable
 - d. Implement an unsafe trait
 - e. Access fields of unions

$2.3 \ {\rm unsafe}$

```
unsafe fn two_mutable_refs(x: &mut i32) \rightarrow (&mut i32, &mut i32) {
    (&mut *(x as *mut i32), &mut *(x as *mut i32))
}
fn main() {
   let mut x = 1;
   let (c1, c2) = unsafe { two_mutable_refs(&mut x) };
    *c1 += 1;
    *c2 += 1;
   println!("{}", x);
}
```

```
2.1 Locking Bugs
```

```
fn do_request() {
    // client: Arc<RwLock<Inner>>
    match connect(client.read().unwrap().m) {
        Ok(_) ⇒ {
            let mut inner = client.write().unwrap();
            inner.m = mbrs;
            }
            Err(_) ⇒ {}
            }
        }
}
```

2.1 Locking Bugs

```
fn do_request() {
    // client: Arc<RwLock<Inner>>
    let result = connect(client.read().unwrap().m);
    match result {
        Ok() \Rightarrow \{
            let mut inner = client.write().unwrap();
            inner.m = mbrs;
        }
        Err() \Rightarrow \{\}
    }
ን
```

2.1 Locking Bugs

fn do_request() {

```
// client: Arc<RwLock<Inner>>
   match connect(client.read().unwrap().m) {
-
   let result = connect(client.read().unwrap().m);
+ -
+ -
   match result {
        Ok() \Rightarrow \{
            let mut inner = client.write().unwrap();
            inner.m = mbrs;
        }
        Err() \Rightarrow \{\}
   }
```